# Geometric Recursion

by

Jørgen Ellegaard Andersen Center for Quantum Geometry of Moduli Spaces Aarhus University Denmark

at the

# Inaugural Conference of the

Institute of the mathematical Sciences of the Americas
September 8, 2019.

Most of the work presented is joint with Gaëtan Borot and Nicolas Orantin.

# Setting for Geometric Recursion.

Consider the following setting:

- ullet  $\mathcal{S}=$  Category of compact oriented surfaces (Morphisms are isotopy classes of diffeo's).
- $\bullet~\mathcal{V}=$  Category of vector spaces.
- $\bullet \ \, \text{A functor } \textbf{E}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$
- $\bullet \ \, \text{A functorial assignment} \qquad \quad \, \Omega_{\Sigma} \in \textbf{E}(\Sigma) \qquad \quad \text{for every object $\Sigma$ of $\mathcal{S}$}.$

We note that in fact

$$\Omega_\Sigma \in \textbf{E}(\Sigma)^{\Gamma_\Sigma},$$

where  $\Gamma_{\Sigma}$  is the mapping class group of  $\Sigma.$ 

# Setting for Geometric Recursion.

Consider the following setting:

- $oldsymbol{\circ}$   $\mathcal{S}=$  Category of compact oriented surfaces (Morphisms are isotopy classes of diffeo's).
- ullet  $\mathcal{V}=$  Category of vector spaces.
- ullet A functor  $oldsymbol{\mathsf{E}}:\mathcal{S} 
  ightarrow \mathcal{V}$
- $\bullet \ \, \text{A functorial assignment} \qquad \quad \, \Omega_{\Sigma} \in \textbf{E}(\Sigma) \qquad \quad \text{for every object $\Sigma$ of $\mathcal{S}$}.$

We note that in fact

$$\Omega_\Sigma \in \textbf{E}(\Sigma)^{\Gamma_\Sigma},$$

where  $\Gamma_{\Sigma}$  is the mapping class group of  $\Sigma$ .

Many construction in low dim. geometry and topology fit in this framework:

# Setting for Geometric Recursion.

Consider the following setting:

- ullet  $\mathcal{S}=$  Category of compact oriented surfaces (Morphisms are isotopy classes of diffeo's).
- ullet  $\mathcal{V}=$  Category of vector spaces.
- ullet A functor  $oldsymbol{\mathsf{E}}:\mathcal{S} 
  ightarrow \mathcal{V}$
- A functorial assignment  $\Omega_{\Sigma} \in \mathbf{E}(\Sigma)$  for every object  $\Sigma$  of S.

We note that in fact

$$\Omega_\Sigma \in \textbf{E}(\Sigma)^{\Gamma_\Sigma},$$

where  $\Gamma_{\Sigma}$  is the mapping class group of  $\Sigma$ .

Many construction in low dim. geometry and topology fit in this framework:

**Ex.** 1.The constant function one on Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}$ :

$$\mathbf{E}(\Sigma) = \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}), \qquad \qquad \Omega_{\Sigma} = 1 \in E(\Sigma)^{\Gamma_{\Sigma}}$$

Ex. 2. Sums over all simple closed multi-curves as a functions on Teichmüller space:

$$\mathbf{E}(\Sigma) = \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{T}_\Sigma), \qquad \qquad \Omega_\Sigma(\sigma) = \sum_{\gamma \in S_\Sigma} \prod_{c \in \pi_0(\gamma)} f(I_\sigma(\gamma_c)), \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{T}_\Sigma.$$

- $S_{\Sigma}$  = multi-curves = the set of isotopy classes of embedded closed 1-dim. manifolds in  $\Sigma$ , such that no component is isotopic to a boundary component, nor are any two different components isotopic.
- $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$  is decaying sufficiently fast at infinity.



Ex. 3. Functions on Teichmüller space via spectral theory:

$$\mathsf{E}(\Sigma) = \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}), \qquad \qquad \Omega_{\Sigma}(\sigma) = \mathsf{Tr}(f(-\Delta_{\sigma}))$$

- $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$  is sufficiently fast decaying at infinity and  $\Delta_{\sigma}$  Dirichlet-Laplace-Beltrami operator on the Riemann surface  $\Sigma_{\sigma}$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}$ .
- Ex. 4. Weil-Petersson symplectic form on Teichmüller space:

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{\Sigma}) = \Omega^2(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{\Sigma}}), \quad \Omega_{\mathbf{\Sigma}} = \omega_{\mathsf{WP}}.$$

**Ex. 5.** Bers complex structure  $I_{Bers}$  on Teichmüller space:

$$\textbf{E}(\Sigma) = \textit{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}, \mathsf{End}(\textit{T}\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma})), \quad \Omega_{\Sigma} = \textit{I}_{\mathsf{Bers}}.$$

Ex. 6. Closed form on Teichmüller space:

$$\textbf{E}(\Sigma) = \Omega^*(\mathcal{T}_\Sigma), \quad \Omega_\Sigma \in \Omega^*(\mathcal{T}_\Sigma)^{\Gamma_\Sigma}, \ d\Omega_\Sigma = 0.$$

- Representing non-trivial cohomology classes on moduli space of curves  $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma) = \mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}/\Gamma_{\Sigma}$ .
- **Ex. 7.** Fock-Rosly Poisson structure  $P_{FR}$  on moduli spaces of flat connections  $M_G(\Sigma)$ :

$$\mathbf{E}(\Sigma) = C^{\infty}(M_G(\Sigma), \Lambda^2 T M_G(\Sigma)), \quad \Omega_{\Sigma} = P_{\mathsf{FR}} \in E(\Sigma)^{\Gamma_{\Sigma}}.$$

- G any semi-simple Lie group either complex or real.
- **Ex. 8.** Narasimhan-Seshadri complex structure on moduli spaces of flat connections  $M_G(\Sigma, c)$ :

$$\mathbf{E}(\Sigma) = C^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}, C^{\infty}(M_{G}(\Sigma, c), \operatorname{End}(TM_{G}(\Sigma, c))), \quad \Omega_{\Sigma} = I_{NS} \in E(\Sigma)^{\Gamma_{\Sigma}}.$$

ullet G any real semi-simple Lie group and c is an assignment of conjugacy classes to each boundary components of  $\Sigma$ , in which we assume the holonomy around each boundary component is contained.

**Ex. 9.** Ricci potentials on the moduli spaces of flat connections  $M_G(\Sigma, c)$ :

$$\mathbf{E}(\Sigma) = C^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}, C^{\infty}(M_G(\Sigma, c))), \quad \Omega_{\Sigma} = F_{\mathsf{Ricci}} \in E(\Sigma)^{\Gamma_{\Sigma}}.$$

Ex. 10. Hitchin's Hyper-Kähler structure on moduli spaces of parabolic Higgs bundles:

$$\mathbf{E}(\Sigma) = C^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}, C^{\infty}(M_{G}(\Sigma, c), \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{T}M_{G}(\Sigma, c)))^{\times 3}, \ \Omega_{\Sigma} = (I, J, K)_{\operatorname{Hitchin}} \in E(\Sigma)^{\Gamma_{\Sigma}}.$$

ullet G is a complex semi-simple Lie group and c is as before.

**Ex.** 11. Representations of mapping class groups  $\rho: \Gamma_{\Sigma} \to \operatorname{Aut}(V)$ :

$$\textbf{E}(\Sigma) = \Omega^1(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T} \times \mathsf{End}(V)), \quad \Omega_\Sigma = u_\rho \in E(\Sigma)^{\Gamma_\Sigma}.$$

Ex. 12. Boundary vectors in TQFT Z:

$$\textbf{E}(\Sigma) = Z(\Sigma), \quad \Omega_{\Sigma} = Z(X^3) \in E(\Sigma)^{\Gamma_X}, \partial X = \Sigma.$$

**Ex. 13.** Any invariant  $I_3$  of closed oriented 3-manifolds:

$$\mathbf{E}(\Sigma) = \mathbb{C}[\mathsf{Heegaard\ diagrams\ } (\alpha,\beta)\ \mathsf{on\ } \Sigma]^*, \quad \Omega_{\Sigma} = \mathit{I}_3 \in \mathit{E}(\Sigma)^{\Gamma_{\Sigma}}, \mathit{I}_3(\alpha,\beta) = \mathit{I}_3(X^3_{(\alpha,\beta)}).$$

Ex. 14. Any invariant  $I_4$  of smooth closed oriented 4-manifolds:

$$\mathbf{E}(\Sigma) = \mathbb{C}[\mathsf{Tri\text{-}section \ diagrams}\ (\alpha,\beta,\gamma) \ \mathsf{on}\ \Sigma]^*, \Omega_{\Sigma} = \mathit{I}_4 \in \mathit{E}(\Sigma)^{\Gamma_{\Sigma}}, \mathit{I}_4(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = \mathit{I}_4(X^4_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}).$$

**Ex. 15.** Closed forms representing cohomology classes from Gromov-Witten Theory:

$$\textbf{E}(\Sigma) = \Omega^*(\mathcal{T}_\Sigma), \quad \Omega_\Sigma = \varphi_{\textit{GW}} \in \textit{E}(\Sigma)^{\Gamma_\Sigma}.$$

Ex. 16. Amplitudes in closed string theory:

$$\textbf{E}(\Sigma) = \Omega^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}), \quad \Omega_{\Sigma} = \textit{A}_{\Sigma} \in \textit{E}(\Sigma)^{\Gamma_{\Sigma}}.$$

# The category of surfaces we consider $\mathcal{S}$ :

Objects: Compact oriented surfaces  $\Sigma$  of negative Euler characteristic with a marked point on each boundary component together with an orientation of the boundary, such that  $\partial \Sigma = \partial_- \Sigma \cup \partial_+ \Sigma$ , and such that the inclusion map  $\partial_- \Sigma \subset \Sigma$  induces  $\pi_0(\partial_- \Sigma) \cong \pi_0(\Sigma)$ .

**Morphisms:** Isotopy classes of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms which preserves marked points and orientations on the boundary modulo isotopies which also preserves all this structure.

# The category of surfaces we consider $\mathcal{S}$ :

**Objects:** Compact oriented surfaces  $\Sigma$  of negative Euler characteristic with a marked point on each boundary component together with an orientation of the boundary, such that  $\partial \Sigma = \partial_- \Sigma \cup \partial_+ \Sigma$ , and such that the inclusion map  $\partial_- \Sigma \subset \Sigma$  induces  $\pi_0(\partial_- \Sigma) \cong \pi_0(\Sigma)$ .

**Morphisms:** Isotopy classes of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms which preserves marked points and orientations on the boundary modulo isotopies which also preserves all this structure.

# The category of vector spaces $\mathcal{V}$ :

**Objects:** Hausdorff, complete, locally convex topological vector spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Morphisms: Morphisms of locally convex topological vector spaces.

Suppose now we have a functor

$$\mathbf{E}: \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{C}$$
.

We want to recursively define for every object  $\Sigma$  of  ${\mathcal S}$ 

$$\Omega_\Sigma \in \textbf{E}(\Sigma)^{\Gamma_\Sigma}$$



The B case.

recursing in the Euler characteristic  $\chi=\chi(\Sigma)$ . The basic idea is  $\chi=0$  to recursively remove **pairs of pants** which are embedded around the components of  $\partial_-\Sigma$ , so that  $\chi$  goes up by one in each step ending with  $\chi=-1$  which is a pair of pants P or a one holed torus T.



The C case.

## This will require:

- Disjoint union morphisms:  $\sqcup$ :  $\mathbf{E}(\Sigma_1) \times \mathbf{E}(\Sigma_2) \to \mathbf{E}(\Sigma_1 \sqcup \Sigma_2)$
- Glueing morphisms:  $\Theta_{\beta} : \mathbf{E}(\Sigma_1) \times \mathbf{E}(\Sigma_2) \to \mathbf{E}(\Sigma_1 \cup_{\beta} \Sigma_2)$
- for subset  $\beta \subset \pi_0(\partial_+\Sigma_1) \times \pi_0(\partial_-\Sigma_2)$  consisting of disjoint pairs.
- Starting data  $A \in \mathbf{E}(P)^{\Gamma_P}$ ,  $D \in \mathbf{E}(T)^{\Gamma_T}$  giving  $\Omega_P = A, \Omega_T = D$ .
- Recursion data  $B^b$   $(b \in \pi_0(\partial_+ P))$ ,  $C \in \mathbf{E}(P)$ .



The  ${\cal C}$  case.

But in order to have mapping class group invariance persist through the recursion, we will also need to be able to make sense of the following infinite sum

$$\Omega_{\Sigma} = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{B}(\Sigma)} \Theta_{b'}(B^{b}, \Omega_{\Sigma_{c}}) + \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{C}(\Sigma)} \Theta_{b,b'}(C, \Omega_{\Sigma_{c}}).$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_B(\Sigma)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_C(\Sigma)$  are the sets of isotopy classes of embeddings of pair of pants into  $\Sigma$  of type B and C respectively and  $\partial_+P=b\cup b'$ .

## Definition

Initial data for a given target theory E are assignments

- $A, C \in \mathbf{E}(P)^{\Gamma_P}$ .
- $B^b \in \mathbf{E}(P)$  for  $b \in \pi_0(\partial_+ P)$  such that  $\varphi(B^b) = B^{\varphi(b)}$  for all  $\varphi \in \Gamma(P)$ .
- $D \in \mathbf{E}(T)^{\Gamma_T}$ .

#### Definition

Initial data for a given target theory E are assignments

- $A, C \in \mathbf{E}(P)^{\Gamma_P}$ .
- $B^b \in \mathbf{E}(P)$  for  $b \in \pi_0(\partial_+ P)$  such that  $\varphi(B^b) = B^{\varphi(b)}$  for all  $\varphi \in \Gamma(P)$ .
- $D \in \mathbf{E}(T)^{\Gamma_T}$ .

#### Definition

The initial data is called *admissible* if A, B, C, D satisfies certain decay properties.

Let (A, B, C, D) be an admissible initial data for a target theory **E**.

#### Definition

• 
$$\Omega_{\emptyset} := 1 \in E(\emptyset) = \mathbb{K}$$
, •  $\Omega_P := A$ , •  $\Omega_T := D$ .

For  $\Sigma$  a connected object of  $\mathcal S$  with Euler characteristic  $\chi(\Sigma) \leq -2$  we seek to inductively define

$$\bullet \quad \Omega_{\Sigma} := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma)} \Theta_{b,b'}(\mathcal{C}, \Omega_{\Sigma_c}) + \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}}(\Sigma)} \Theta_{b'}(\mathcal{B}^b_{\mathbf{P}_c}, \Omega_{\Sigma_c})$$

as an element of  $\mathbf{E}(\Sigma)$ .

For disconnected objects  $\Sigma$ , we declare

$$\Omega_{\Sigma} := \bigsqcup_{a \in \pi_0(\Sigma)} \Omega_{\Sigma(a)}.$$

## Theorem (Andersen, Borot and Orantin)

The assignment  $\Sigma \mapsto \Omega_{\Sigma}$  is well-defined. More precisely, the above series defining  $\Omega_{\Sigma}$  converges absolutely for any of the seminorms of  $\mathbf{E}(\Sigma)$ , and it is functorial. In particular,

$$\Omega_{\Sigma} \in \textbf{E}(\Sigma)^{\Gamma_{\Sigma}}.$$

## Idea of the proof

- Recall that  $S_{\Sigma}$  is Thurston's set of multi curves on  $\Sigma$ .
- The basic idea is to consider functions

$$I:S_{\Sigma} \to \mathbb{R}_+$$

for which there exists  $c_{\Sigma}, d_{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$\#\{\gamma \in S_{\Sigma}|I(\gamma) < L\} \leq c_{\Sigma}L^{d_{\Sigma}} \quad \forall L \in \mathbb{R}_{+}.$$

• The sets of pair of pants  $\mathcal{P}_B(\Sigma)$  are really just subsets of  $S_{\Sigma}$  and we see that

$$\zeta_B(s) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_B(\Sigma)} I(P)^{-s}$$

are well defined functions for  $s>d_{\Sigma}+1$ .

ullet If we now assume that for each  $P\in\mathcal{P}_B(\Sigma)$  we have the esimate

$$|\Theta_{b'}(B_{\mathbf{P}_c}^b, \Omega_{\Sigma_c})| \leq C |\Omega_{\Sigma_c}| I(P)^{-(d_{\Sigma}+2)}$$

then we get that

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}}(\Sigma)} \left| \Theta_{b'}(B^b_{\mathsf{P}_c}, \Omega_{\Sigma_c}) \right| \leq C \left| \Omega_{\Sigma_c} \right| \zeta_{\mathcal{B}}(d_{\Sigma} + 2).$$

Same argument of course works for  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma)$ .



# Topological Recursion, Quantum Airy structures and Geometric Recursion

## **Topological Recursion**

- Invented by Chekhov, Eynard, Orantin around 2005-07 and written down by Eynard and Orantin.
- Takes as its input a spectral curve together with a certain one form and two form on a two fold product of the spectral curve.
- It produces forms index by non-negative integers g and n on products of the spectral curve, which are defined by a recursion with a structure very reminiscent of the structure of the irreducible components of the boundary divisor of  $M_{g,n}$ 's.

# Topological Recursion, Quantum Airy structures and Geometric Recursion

# Topological Recursion

- Invented by Chekhov, Eynard, Orantin around 2005-07 and written down by Eynard and Orantin.
- Takes as its input a spectral curve together with a certain one form and two form on a
  two fold product of the spectral curve.
- It produces forms index by non-negative integers g and n on products of the spectral curve, which are defined by a recursion with a structure very reminiscent of the structure of the irreducible components of the boundary divisor of  $M_{g,n}$ 's.

## Quantum Airy structures

- Invented by Kontevich and Soibelman in 2016-17.
- Takes as input four (maybe infinite) tensors A, B, C, D which is the data needed to specify and quantize a certain quadratic Lagrangian.
- For any initial data for TR one can construct an A, B, C, D which gives a Quantum Airy structure and the output of TR becomes incoded in Kontsevich and Soibelmans general construction of the quantization of the quadratic Lagrangian.

# Topological Recursion, Quantum Airy structures and Geometric Recursion

#### Topological Recursion

- Invented by Chekhov, Eynard, Orantin around 2005-07 and written down by Eynard and Orantin.
- Takes as its input a spectral curve together with a certain one form and two form on a
  two fold product of the spectral curve.
- It produces forms index by non-negative integers g and n on products of the spectral curve, which are defined by a recursion with a structure very reminiscent of the structure of the irreducible components of the boundary divisor of  $M_{g,n}$ 's.

## **Quantum Airy structures**

- Invented by Kontevich and Soibelman in 2016-17.
- Takes as input four (maybe infinite) tensors A, B, C, D which is the data needed to specify and quantize a certain quadratic Lagrangian.
- For any initial data for TR one can construct an A, B, C, D which gives a Quantum Airy structure and the output of TR becomes incoded in Kontsevich and Soibelmans general construction of the quantization of the quadratic Lagrangian.

#### Geometric Recursion

- We (Andersen, Borot, Orantin) invented it gradually during the period 2015-18.
- Our first version of Geometric Recursion was based on the spectral curve technology.
- The A, B, C, D formalism presented above was inspired by Kontsevich and Soibelmans reformulation of TR and simplified our constructions considerably.
- GR is rather different in the sense that it involves something functorially defined on surfaces which do have a genus g and a number of boundary components n.
- As we will see below, for certain target theories, GR can be mapped to TR and it is a means to establish that something can be computed by means of TR.

Let  $\Sigma$  be an object of  $\mathcal{S}$ , e.g.  $\Sigma$  is a pointed bordered surface, so we have marked points on the boundary  $o=(o_b)_{b\in\pi_0(\partial\Sigma)}$ .

#### Definition

The Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}^p_\Sigma$  for a pointed bordered surface  $\Sigma$  is

$$\{\mu: \Sigma \to S \mid S \text{ bordered Riemann Surface}\}/\sim$$

Here  $(\mu_1:\Sigma\to S_1)\sim (\mu_2:\Sigma\to S_2)$  iff there exist  $\Phi:S_1\to S_2$  biholomorphism s.t.  $\mu_2^{-1}\circ\Phi\circ\mu_1$  restricts to the identity on o and is isotopic to  $\mathrm{Id}_\Sigma$  via diffeomorphism which also restrict to the identity on o.

The canonical projection

$$p_\Sigma \; : \; \mathcal{T}^p_\Sigma \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_\Sigma,$$

is an  $\mathbb{R}^{\pi_0(\partial \Sigma)}$ -bundle.

The group  $\Delta_{\Sigma}$  generated by boundary parallel Dehn twist acts free on  $\mathcal{T}^{p}_{\Sigma}$  and we denote  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{p}_{\Sigma} := \mathcal{T}^{p}_{\Sigma}/\Delta_{\Sigma}$ . Then the induced projection

$$\tilde{p}_{\Sigma} \,:\, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\Sigma}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}$$

is a  $U(1)^{\pi_0(\Sigma)}$ -bundle.

For our pair of pants P, we get a canonical identification

$$\mathcal{T}_P \cong \mathbb{R}^3_+$$

and isomorphism

$$\mathcal{T}_P^p \cong (\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})^3, \qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_P^p \cong (\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathit{U}(1))^3.$$

We denote by  $(L_i, heta_i)_{i=1}^3$  the resulting coordinates on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^p_{\mathbf{P}}.$ 

For our pair of pants P, we get a canonical identification

$$\mathcal{T}_P \cong \mathbb{R}^3_+$$

and isomorphism

$$\mathcal{T}^{p}_{P}\cong (\mathbb{R}_{+}\times\mathbb{R})^{3}, \qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{p}_{P}\cong (\mathbb{R}_{+}\times \textit{U}(1))^{3}\,.$$

We denote by  $(L_i, \theta_i)_{i=1}^3$  the resulting coordinates on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^p_{\mathbf{P}}$ .

For  $\Sigma_i$  objects of S and  $\beta \subset \pi_0(\partial_+\Sigma_1) \times \pi_0(\partial_-\Sigma_2)$  we obtain by gluing a new object  $\Sigma_1 \cup_{\beta} \Sigma_2$ .

We have the following inclusion map

$$\iota_b: \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{\rho,=_\beta}_{\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\rho_{\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2}$$

where  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2}^{p,=\beta}$  is the subset of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2}^p$  where the length of the glued boundary components match. Then we have a  $U(1)^{|\beta|}$ -fibration

$$\widetilde{\vartheta}_\beta:\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^{\rho,=_\beta}_{\Sigma_1\cup\Sigma_2}/\Delta_\beta\to\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\rho_{\Sigma_1\cup_\beta\Sigma_2}$$

obtained by glueing.

Here  $\Delta_{\beta}$  is the group generated by pairs of opposite Dehn-twist along each boundary pair of  $\beta$ , which cancel after glueing.

Union morphisms

As union morphism, we take  $\sqcup$  :  $\mathbf{E}(\Sigma_1) \times \mathbf{E}(\Sigma_2) \to \mathbf{E}(\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2)$  given by  $f_1 \sqcup f_2 = q_1^* f_1 \cdot q_2^* f_2$ , where  $q_i$  :  $\mathbf{E}(\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2) \to \mathbf{E}(\Sigma_i)$  are the projections.

Glueing morphisms

For  $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathbf{E}(\Sigma_1) \times \mathbf{E}(\Sigma_2)$  we define

$$\Theta_b(f_1,f_2)(\sigma) := \int_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{\alpha}^{-1}(\sigma)} \iota_b^*(f_1 \sqcup f_2) d\alpha.$$

where  $d\alpha$  is the rotation invariant measure on the fibers of  $\tilde{\vartheta}_{\gamma}.$ 

INITIAL DATA

- $A, C \in \mathcal{C}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_P^p)^{\Gamma_P} \cong \mathcal{C}^0((\mathbb{R}_+ \times U(1))^{\times 3})^{S_2}$
- $B^b, B^{b'} \in \mathcal{C}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_P^p) \cong \mathcal{C}^0((\mathbb{R}_+ \times U(1))^{\times 3})$  ( $B^{b'}$  is  $B^b$  with last two coordinates permuted.)
- $D \in \mathcal{C}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_T^p)^{\Gamma_T}$

**Admissibility:** For all  $s, \varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $M(s, \varepsilon)$  s.t.

$$\sup_{\sigma \in K_P(\varepsilon)} (1 + [I_{\sigma}(\partial_+ P) - I_{\sigma}(\partial_- P)]_+)^s |B^b(\sigma)| \le M(s, \varepsilon)$$

$$\sup_{\sigma \in K_P(\varepsilon)} (1 + [I_{\sigma}(\partial_+ P) - I_{\sigma}(\partial_- P)]_+)^s |C(\sigma)| \le M(s, \varepsilon).$$

Here 
$$K_{\Sigma}(\varepsilon):=\left\{\sigma\in\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^p_{\Sigma}\mid \operatorname{sys}_{\sigma}\geq\varepsilon\right\}$$
 and  $\left([x]_+=rac{1+\operatorname{Sign}(x)}{2}x
ight)_+=rac{1+\operatorname{Sign}(x)}{2}$ 

Consider the Mirzakhani-McShane initial data:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} A_{\mathsf{MM}}(L_1,L_2,L_3) & = & 1 \\ B_{\mathsf{MM}}(L_1,L_2,\ell) & = & 1 - \frac{1}{L_1} \ln \left( \frac{\cosh\left(\frac{L_2}{2}\right) + \cosh\left(\frac{L_1+\ell}{2}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{L_2}{2}\right) + \cosh\left(\frac{L_1-\ell}{2}\right)} \right) \\ C_{\mathsf{MM}}(L_1,\ell,\ell') & = & \frac{1}{L_1} \ln \left( \frac{\exp(\frac{L_1}{2}) + \exp(\frac{\ell+\ell'}{2})}{\exp(-\frac{L_1}{2}) + \exp(\frac{\ell+\ell'}{2})} \right) \end{array}$$

and

$$D_{\mathsf{MM}}(\sigma) = \sum_{\gamma \in S_{\mathcal{T}}} C_{\mathsf{MM}}(\ell_{\sigma}(\partial \mathcal{T}), \ell_{\sigma}(\gamma), \ell_{\sigma}(\gamma))$$

for  $\sigma \in \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_T^p$ .

# Theorem (Andersen, Borot and Orantin)

For any object  $\Sigma$  in S the Geometric Recursion applied to the initial data  $A_{MM}, B_{MM}, C_{MM}, D_{MM}$  for the target theory  $\mathbf{E}(\Sigma) = \mathcal{C}^0(\widetilde{T}_{\Sigma}^p)$  gives

$$\Omega_{\Sigma}=1.$$

Consider the Kontsevich initial data:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} A_{K}(L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}) & = & 1 \\ B_{K}(L_{1},L_{2},\ell) & = & \frac{1}{2L_{1}} \big( [L_{1}-L_{2}-\ell]_{+} - [-L_{1}+L_{2}-\ell]_{+} + [L_{1}+L_{2}-\ell]_{+} \big) \\ C_{K}(L_{1},\ell,\ell') & = & \frac{1}{L_{1}} [L_{1}-\ell-\ell']_{+} \end{array}$$

and

$$D_{\mathsf{K}}(\sigma) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathsf{S}_{\mathcal{T}}} C_{\mathsf{K}}(\ell_{\sigma}(\partial T), \ell_{\sigma}(\gamma), \ell_{\sigma}(\gamma))$$

for  $\sigma \in \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_T^p$ .

# Theorem (Andersen, Borot and Orantin)

For any object  $\Sigma$  in S the Geometric Recursion applied to the initial data  $A_K, B_K, C_K, D_K$  for the target theory  $\mathbf{E}(\Sigma) = \mathcal{C}^0(\widetilde{T}_\Sigma^p)$  gives

$$\Omega^{\mathrm{K}}_{\Sigma} \in \mathit{C}^{0}(\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma})$$

which is integrable over  $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(L_1,\ldots,L_n)$  w.r.t.  $\nu_{\Sigma}(L_1,\ldots,L_n)$  and

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(L_{1},\ldots,L_{n})} \Omega_{\Sigma}^{K} \nu_{\Sigma}(L_{1},\ldots,L_{n}) = \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \text{exp}\,\bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{L_{i}^{2}}{2}\,\psi_{i}\bigg),$$

where

- $\nu_{\Sigma}(L_1,\ldots,L_n)$  Weil-Petersson volume form on  $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(L_1,\ldots,L_n)$
- $\psi_i$  are the Psi-classes of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  and g is the genus of  $\Sigma$ .

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$  be a continuous function. For any object  $\Sigma$  of S we consider the series

$$F_{\Sigma}(\sigma) = \sum_{\gamma \in S_{\Sigma}} \prod_{c \in \pi_0(\gamma)} f(\ell_{\sigma}(\gamma_c))$$

where  $S_{\Sigma}$  is the set multi-curves on  $\Sigma$ .

Let us denote

$$s_f:=\infig\{s\in\mathbb{R}_+\quadig|\quadorall\epsilon>0,\quad\sup_{\ell\geq\epsilon}\ell^s|f(\ell)|<+\inftyig\}$$

If  $\Sigma$  is a connected bordered surface with genus g and n boundary components such that  $6g-6+2n < s_f$ , then

$$F_{\Sigma}(\sigma) = \sum_{\gamma \in S_{\Sigma}} \prod_{c \in \pi_0(\gamma)} f(\ell_{\sigma}(\gamma_c))$$

is absolutely convergent and defines a continuous function of  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}$ . Since  $\Gamma_{\Sigma}$  acts by permutations on  $S_{\Sigma}$ , this function is  $\Gamma_{\Sigma}$ -invariant

This function is obviously multiplicative for disjoint unions

$$F_{\Sigma_1 \sqcup \Sigma_2} = F_{\Sigma_1} F_{\Sigma_2}.$$

We observe that for a pair of pants P we have that  $F_P = 1$ .

f-twisted Mirzakhani-McShane initial data:

$$B_{\mathsf{MM}}^f(L_1, L_2, \ell) = B_{\mathsf{MM}}(L_1, L_2, \ell) + f(\ell)$$

$$C^f_{\mathsf{MM}}(L_1,\ell,\ell') = C_{\mathsf{MM}}(L_1,\ell,\ell') + B_{\mathsf{MM}}(L_1,\ell,\ell') f(\ell) + B_{\mathsf{MM}}(L_1,\ell',\ell) f(\ell') + f(\ell) f(\ell').$$

$$A_{\mathsf{MM}}^f = 1, \quad D_{\mathsf{MM}}^f(\sigma) = 1 + \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}} f(\ell_{\sigma}(\gamma)),$$

#### Theorem (Andersen, Borot and Orantin)

For any object  $\Sigma$  in S the Geometric Recursion applied to the initial data  $A_{MM}^f, B_{MM}^f, C_{MM}^f, D_{MM}^f$  for the target theory  $\mathbf{E}(\Sigma) = \mathcal{C}^0(\widetilde{T}_\Sigma^p)$  gives

$$\Omega_{\Sigma} = F_{\Sigma}$$
.

f-twisted Mirzakhani-McShane initial data:

$$B_{\mathsf{MM}}^f(L_1, L_2, \ell) = B_{\mathsf{MM}}(L_1, L_2, \ell) + f(\ell)$$

$$C^f_{\mathsf{MM}}(L_1,\ell,\ell') = C_{\mathsf{MM}}(L_1,\ell,\ell') + B_{\mathsf{MM}}(L_1,\ell,\ell') f(\ell) + B_{\mathsf{MM}}(L_1,\ell',\ell) f(\ell') + f(\ell) f(\ell').$$

$$A_{\mathsf{MM}}^f = 1, \quad D_{\mathsf{MM}}^f(\sigma) = 1 + \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}} f(\ell_{\sigma}(\gamma)),$$

#### Theorem (Andersen, Borot and Orantin)

For any object  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathcal S$  the Geometric Recursion applied to the initial data  $A_{MM}^f, B_{MM}^f, C_{MM}^f, D_{MM}^f$  for the target theory  $\mathbf E(\Sigma) = \mathcal C^0(\widetilde T_\Sigma^p)$  gives

$$\Omega_{\Sigma} = F_{\Sigma}$$
.

Main idea of the proof is that for a given  $\gamma \in S_{\Sigma}$ , there always exist a pair of pants in  $\Sigma$  around  $\partial_{-}\Sigma$ , which does not intersect  $\gamma$ .

If  $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{T}_\Sigma)^{\Gamma_\Sigma}$  is integrable with respect to the Weil-Petersson volume form  $\nu_\Sigma$ , we define the expectation value

$$\langle \Phi \rangle (L_1, \ldots, L_n) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma_g, n}(L_1, \ldots, L_n)} \Phi \, d\nu_{\Sigma}$$

# Theorem (Andersen, Borot and Orantin)

$$\begin{split} \langle F_{\Sigma_{g,n}} \rangle (L_1, \dots, L_n) &= \\ &\sum_{m=2}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} B^f(L_1, L_m, \ell) \, \langle F_{\Sigma_{g,n-1}} \rangle (\ell, L_2, \dots, \widehat{L_m}, \dots, L_n) \ell \, d\ell \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} C^f(L_1, \ell, \ell') \bigg( \langle F_{\Sigma_{g-1,n+1}} \rangle (\ell, \ell', L_2, \dots, L_n) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{g_1 + g_2 = g \\ J_1 \sqcup J_2 = \{L_2, \dots, L_n\}}} \langle F_{\Sigma_{g_1,1+|J_1|}} \rangle (\ell, \ell_{J_1}) \langle F_{\Sigma_{g_2,1+|J_2|}} \rangle (\ell', \ell_{J_2}) \bigg) \, \ell \, \ell' \, \, d\ell \, d\ell' \end{split}$$

and

$$\langle F_P \rangle (L_1, L_2, L_3) = 1, \quad \langle F_T \rangle (L) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \frac{L^2}{24} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(\ell) \ell \, d\ell.$$

Consider the topological recursion of Chekhov, Eynard and Orantin which given a spectral curve

$$(x:\mathfrak{X}\to\mathfrak{X}_0,\omega_{0,1},\omega_{0,2})$$

produces

•  $\omega_{g,n}$  index by  $g \ge 0$  and  $n \ge 1$ , which are denoted the TR amplitudes.

## Theorem (Andersen, Borot and Orantin)

Let  $(x:\mathfrak{X}\to\mathfrak{X}_0,\omega_{0,1},\omega_{0,2})$  be a spectral curve and  $\omega_{g,n}$  the TR amplitudes.

Let  $\mathfrak r$  be the set of ramifications points of x. For  $r \in \mathfrak r$ , we introduce local coordinates near  $r \in \mathfrak X$  and  $x(r) \in \mathfrak X_0$  such that  $x(z) = z^2/2 + c_r$ . Let V be the free  $\mathbb C$ -vector space on the set  $\mathfrak r$ .

There exists a family of admissible initial data, parametrized by  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , for the geometric recursion valued in  $\mathbf{E}(\Sigma) = \mathscr{C}^0(\mathcal{T}_\Sigma, V^{\otimes \pi_0(\partial \Sigma)})$  for which the GR amplitudes  $\Omega_\Sigma^\beta$  are integrable on  $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma(L)$  with respect to the  $\nu_{\Sigma,L}$  for any  $L \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , and with the property that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z_1' \to r_1} \cdots \operatorname{Res}_{z_n' \to r_n} \frac{\omega_{g,n}(z_1', \dots, z_n')}{\prod_{i=1}^n (z_i - z_i') \, dz_i} = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \prod_{i=1}^n dL_i \, L_i \, e^{-z_i L_i} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma_g,n}, L} \Omega_{\Sigma}^{\beta} \, \nu_{\Sigma, L} \right)$$

# Theorem (Andersen, Borot and Orantin)

(A,B,C,D) initial data satisfying the admissibility conditions with constants  $M(s,\epsilon)$  independent of  $\epsilon>0$ , and let  $\Omega$  be the corresponding GR amplitudes. Then the restriction of  $\Omega_{\Sigma}$  to  $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(L)$  for fixed  $L\in\mathbb{R}_{+}^{\pi_{\Omega}(\partial\Sigma)}$  is integrable with respect to  $\nu_{\Sigma,L}$ . For  $\Sigma_{g,n}$  connected with genus g and n boundary components set

$$W_{g,n}(L) := \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma_g,n}(L)} \Omega_{\Sigma_g,n} \, \nu_{\Sigma_g,n,L}$$

These functions satisfies topological recursion: First

$$W_{0,3} = A, \qquad W_{1,1}(L) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{T}(L)} \Omega_{T} \, \nu_{T,L}.$$

For any  $2g-2+n\geq 2$  and  $L\in\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_+$   $(W_{0,1}=0 \text{ and } W_{0,2}=0 \text{ by convention})$ 

$$W_{g,n}(L_1,L) = \sum_{m=2}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \ell B(L_1, L_m, \ell) W_{g,n-1}(\ell, L \setminus \{L_m\}) d\ell$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \ell \ell' C(L_1, \ell, \ell') \bigg( W_{g-1,n+1}(\ell, \ell', L) + \sum_{\substack{h+h'=g\\J_1 \cup J_2 = L}} W_{h,1+|J|}(\ell, J) W_{h',1+|J'|}(\ell', J') \bigg) d\ell d\ell'$$

# The Weil-Petersson symplectic form on Teichmüller space

#### Recall

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_P^{p} \cong (\mathbb{R}_+ \times U(1))^{\times 3}$  with coordinates  $(L_1, \Theta_1, L_2, \Theta_2, L_3, \Theta_3)$
- $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{T}}^{p} \cong (\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathit{U}(1)) \times (\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R})$  with Frensel-Nielsen coordinates  $(L, \Theta, \ell, \varphi)$ .

Now consider the target theory  $\mathbf{E}(\Sigma) = \Omega^*(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\rho_\Sigma).$ 

Initial data:

$$A_{\mathsf{WP}} = \exp_{\wedge} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{3} d\Theta_{i} \wedge dL_{i} \right)$$

$$B_{\mathsf{WP}} = B_{\mathsf{MM}}(L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}) \exp_{\wedge} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2} d\Theta_{i} \wedge dL_{i} \right) \wedge d \left( \frac{\Theta_{3}}{L_{3}} \right)$$

$$C_{\mathsf{WP}} = C_{\mathsf{MM}}(L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}) \exp_{\wedge} \left( d\Theta_{1} \wedge dL_{1} \right) \wedge d \left( \frac{\Theta_{2}}{L_{2}} \right) \wedge d \left( \frac{\Theta_{3}}{L_{3}} \right)$$

$$D_{\mathsf{WP}} = \exp_{\wedge} \left( d\Theta \wedge dL + d\varphi \wedge d\ell \right)$$

#### Theorem (Andersen, Borot and Orantin)

For any object  $\Sigma$  in S the Geometric Recursion applied to the initial data  $A_{WP}, B_{WP}, C_{WP}, D_{WP}$  for the target theory  $\mathbf{E}(\Sigma) = \Omega^*(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\Sigma}^P)$  gives

$$\Omega_{\Sigma} = \exp(\omega_{WP}).$$

This part is joint with Borot, Charbonnier, Delecroix, Giacchetto, Lewański and Wheeler.

- ullet Consider the bundle of quadratic differentials  $\mathcal{QT}_{\Sigma}$  over  $\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}.$
- ullet We have the natural norm  $|\cdot|$  on  $Q\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}$  given by

$$|q|=\int_{\Sigma}|q\wedge ar{q}|^{1/2}$$

- There are local holonomy coordinates on  $QT_{\Sigma}$  which specifies a lattice subbundle in  $QT_{\Sigma}$ .
- ullet The Masur–Veech measure  $\mu_{\mathrm{MV}}$  on  $Q\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}$  is defined from this structure by lattice point counting, normalized such that the co-volume of the lattice is one.
- ullet For Y a measurable subset of the unit norm quadratic differentials  $Q^1\mathcal{T}_\Sigma$  set

$$\mu_{\mathrm{MV}}^1(Y) = (12g - 12 + 4n)\mu_{\mathrm{MV}}(\widetilde{Y}), \qquad \widetilde{Y} = \{tq | t \in (0, \tfrac{1}{2}) \ \text{ and } \ q \in Y\}$$

- This measure is clearly  $\Gamma_{\Sigma}$  invariant.
- The Masur-Veech volume is by definition the total mass

$$MV_{g,n} = \mu^1_{\text{MV}}(Q^1 \mathcal{M}_{g,n}) < \infty.$$

Consider the smooth function  $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$f(I)=\frac{1}{e^I+1}.$$

Let  $A_K^f, B_K^f, C_K^f, D_K^f$  be the f twisted Kontsevich initial data and let  $\Omega_{\Sigma}^{MV} \in C^0(\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma})$  be the geometric recursion amplitudes obtained from this initial data.

Then  $\Omega^{MV}_{\Sigma}$  is integrable over  $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(L_1,\ldots,L_n)$  w.r.t. the WP-volume form  $\nu_{\Sigma,L}$  and we recall our notation

$$\langle \Omega_{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{MV}} \rangle (L_1, \dots, L_n) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(L_1, \dots, L_n)} \Omega_{g,n}^{\mathrm{MV}} \nu_{\Sigma, L}.$$

#### **Theorem**

 $\langle \Omega_{g,n}^{\mathrm{MV}} \rangle (L_1, \dots, L_n) = \langle \Omega_{\Sigma_{g,n}}^{\mathrm{MV}} \rangle (L_1, \dots, L_n) \text{ is a polynomial in the $L_i'$s and }$ 

$$MV_{g,n} = \frac{2^{4g-2+n}(4g-4+n)!}{(6g-7+2n)!} \langle \Omega_{g,n}^{\rm MV} \rangle (0,\ldots,0).$$

We call  $\langle \Omega_{\sigma,n}^{\mathrm{MV}} \rangle (L_1,\ldots,L_n)$  the Masur-Veech polynomials.

#### Theorem

$$\begin{split} \langle \Omega_{g,n}^{\mathrm{MV}} \rangle (L_1, \dots, L_n) &= \sum_{\substack{d_1, \dots, d_n \geq 0 \\ d_1 + \dots + d_n \leq 3g - 3 + n}} F_{g,n}[d_1, \dots, d_n] \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{L_j^{2d_j}}{(2d_j + 1)!}. \\ F_{0,1}[d_1] &= F_{0,2}[d_1, d_2] = 0, \quad F_{0,3}[d_1, d_2, d_3] = \delta_{d_1, d_2, d_3, 0}, \quad F_{1,1}[d] = \delta_{d,0} \frac{\zeta(2)}{2} + \delta_{d,1} \frac{1}{8} \\ F_{g,n}[d_1, \dots, d_n] &= \sum_{m=2}^n \sum_{a \geq 0} B_{d_m, a}^{d_1} F_{g,n-1}[a, d_2, \dots, \widehat{d_m}, \dots, d_n] + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a, b \geq 0} C_{a, b}^{d_1} \left( F_{g-1, n+1}[a, b, d_2, \dots, d_n] \right. \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{h+h'=g\\J \sqcup J'=\{d_2, \dots, d_n\}}} F_{h, 1+|J|}[a, J] F_{h', 1+|J'|}[b, J'] \right), \end{split}$$

 $+\frac{(2k+2a+1)!\zeta(2k+2a+2)}{(2k+1)!(2a)!}\delta_{i+a,j+1}+\zeta(2j+2)\zeta(2k+2)\delta_{i,0}.$ 

 $\begin{array}{lcl} B^{i}_{j,k} & = & (2j+1) \, \delta_{i+j,k+1} + \delta_{i,j,0} \, \zeta(2k+2), \\ C^{i}_{i,k} & = & \delta_{i,j+k+2} + \frac{(2j+2a+1)! \, \zeta(2j+2a+2)}{(2j+1)! \, (2a)!} \, \delta_{i+a,k+1} \end{array}$ 

#### Theorem

For surfaces of genus g with n>0 boundaries, the Masur–Veech volumes are

$$MV_{g,n} = \frac{2^{4g-4+n}(4g-4+n)!}{(6g-7+2n)!} F_{g,n}[0,\ldots,0],$$

while for closed surfaces of genus  $g \ge 2$  they are obtained through

$$MV_{g,0} = \frac{2^{4g-2}(4g-4)!}{(6g-6)!} F_{g,1}[1].$$

# Future perspectives The following is my own view on and preliminary results concerning the future perspectives of geometric recursion. ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆■▶ ◆■▶ ■ 900

Recall B. Zwiebach's formulation of closed String Field Theory.

Part of this theory is the vertex Hilbert space V of the theory with its inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . The theory provides brackets for all  $g \geq 0, n \geq 0$  and any sufficiently small  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ 

$$[\cdot,\ldots,\cdot]_{g,n}^{\epsilon}:V^{\times n}\to V,$$

which satisfies the quantum master equation (QME) in SFT.

These brackets are determined by the associated multi-pairings

$$\{\cdot,\dots,\cdot\}_{g,n}^{\varepsilon}\colon V^{\times n}\to\mathbb{C}$$

by the formula

$$\{v_1,\ldots,v_n\}_{g,n}^{\epsilon}=\langle v_1,[v_2,\ldots,v_n]_{g,n-1}^{\epsilon}\rangle$$

 $v_1,\ldots,v_n\in V$ .

These multi-pairings are given by the following expression (for certain top forms

$$\omega_{g,n}(v_1,\ldots,v_n))$$

$$\{v_1,\ldots,v_n\}_{g,n}^{\epsilon}=\int_{V_{g,n}^{\epsilon}}\omega_{g,n}(v_1,\ldots,v_n),$$

where  $V_{g,n}^{\epsilon}$  is a **certain subset** of  $M_{g,n}$  which should satisfy the following version of the QME:

$$\partial V_{g,n}^{\epsilon} \cong \left( \bigsqcup_{g_1+g_2=g, n_1+n_2=n+2, n_i \geq 1} V_{g_1,n_1}^{\epsilon} \times V_{g_2,n_2}^{\epsilon} \right) \bigsqcup V_{g-1,n+2}^{\epsilon}$$

# Closed String Field Theory (SFT)

We consider the follow function  $f_{t,\epsilon}:\mathbb{R}_+ o \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$f_{t,\epsilon}(I) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} t & I \in [0,\epsilon) \\ 0 & I \in [\epsilon,\infty) \end{array} \right.$$

We will further require that  $\epsilon < \operatorname{argsinh}(1)$ .

We now consider the following initial data  $A_{MM}^{f_{t,\epsilon}}, B_{MM}^{f_{t,\epsilon}}, C_{MM}^{f_{t,\epsilon}}, D_{MM}^{f_{t,\epsilon}}$  and let

$$\Omega^{\varepsilon,\,t}_\Sigma\in\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}_\Sigma)$$

be the result of the geometric recursion applied to this initial data. For each  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}$  we denote by  $n_{\epsilon}(\sigma)$  the number of simple closed geodesics of length shorter than  $\epsilon$ .

#### Theorem

For all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}$  we have that

$$\Omega_{\Sigma}^{\epsilon,t}(\sigma) = (1+t)^{n_{\epsilon}(\sigma)}.$$

Thus, if we let  $\Omega_{g,n}^{\epsilon} = \Omega_{g,n}^{\epsilon,-1}$ , we get that

 $\Omega_{g,n}^{\epsilon}$  is the indicator function for the subset  $\tilde{V}_{g,n}^{\epsilon}$ .

where

 $\tilde{V}_{g,n}^\epsilon = \{[\sigma] \in \mathcal{M}_{g,n} \mid \text{ all simple interior closed geodesics on } [\sigma] \text{ have length at least } \epsilon\}$ 

Let

$$V_{g,n}^{\epsilon} = \tilde{V}_{g,n}^{\epsilon} \cap \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\epsilon,\ldots,\epsilon).$$

#### Theorem

The subsets  $V_{g,n}^{\epsilon}$  satisfies the quantum master equation

$$\partial V_{g,n}^{\epsilon} \cong \left(\bigsqcup_{g_1+g_2=g, n_1+n_2=n+2, n_i \geq 1} V_{g_1,n_1}^{\epsilon} \times V_{g_2,n_2}^{\epsilon}\right) \bigsqcup V_{g-1,n+2}^{\epsilon}$$

Since  $\Omega_{g,n}^{\epsilon}$  is the indicator function of  $\tilde{V}_{g,n}^{\epsilon}$  we of course have that

$$\int_{V_{g,n}^{\epsilon}} \omega_{g,n}(v_1,\ldots,v_n) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\epsilon,\ldots,\epsilon)} \Omega_{g,n}^{\epsilon} \omega_{g,n}(v_1,\ldots,v_n).$$

Let

$$V_{g,n}^{\epsilon} = \tilde{V}_{g,n}^{\epsilon} \cap \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\epsilon,\ldots,\epsilon).$$

#### **Theorem**

The subsets  $V_{g,n}^{\epsilon}$  satisfies the quantum master equation

$$\partial V_{g,n}^{\epsilon} \cong \left( \bigsqcup_{g_1+g_2=g, n_1+n_2=n+2, n_i \geq 1} V_{g_1,n_1}^{\epsilon} \times V_{g_2,n_2}^{\epsilon} \right) \bigsqcup V_{g-1,n+2}^{\epsilon}$$

Since  $\Omega_{g,n}^{\epsilon}$  is the indicator function of  $\tilde{V}_{g,n}^{\epsilon}$  we of course have that

$$\int_{V_{g,n}^{\epsilon}} \omega_{g,n}(v_1,\ldots,v_n) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\epsilon,\ldots,\epsilon)} \Omega_{g,n}^{\epsilon} \omega_{g,n}(v_1,\ldots,v_n).$$

It is likely that we can further build  $\Omega_{g,n}^{\epsilon}(\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_n)$  via geometric recursion (since  $\omega_{g,n}(\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_n)$  is build from the usual conformal field theory constructions which satisfies factorization) and then we will get that that the string brackets

$$\{v_1,\ldots,v_n\}_{g,n}^{\epsilon}=\int_{V_{g,n}^{\epsilon}}\omega_{g,n}(v_1,\ldots,v_n)$$

can be computed by topological recursion!



# Other target theories

We are currently working with other candidate target theories:

# Functions on Hitchin's higher Teichmüller components:

One considers Hitchin's component of the  $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$  moduli space and then normalized logarithms of spectral radius holonomy functions in place of length functions, precisely as done by M. Bridgeman, R. Canary, F. Labouri & A. Sambarino when they construct the Pressure Metric on this component.

**Ex. 3.** Functions on Teichmüller space via spectral theory:

$$\mathbf{E}(\Sigma) = \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}), \qquad \qquad \Omega_{\Sigma}(\sigma) = \mathsf{Tr}(f(-\Delta_{\sigma}))$$

•  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$  is sufficiently fast decaying at infinity and  $\Delta_{\sigma}$  Dirichlet-Laplace-Beltrami operator on the Riemann surface  $\Sigma_{\sigma}$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_{\Sigma}$ .

The Selberg trace formula expresses  $\Omega_{\Sigma}$  as sum over geodesics on  $\Sigma$ :

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Tr}(f(-\Delta_\sigma)) &= \frac{2g+n-1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{f}(\rho) \rho \tanh(\pi \rho) d\rho \\ &+ \sum_{\gamma \in G_\rho} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\ell_\sigma(\gamma)}{4 \mathsf{sinh}(k \frac{\ell_\sigma(\gamma)}{2})} g(k \ell_\sigma(\gamma)) \\ &- \sum_{\gamma \in G_\rho'} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\ell_\sigma(\gamma)}{4 \mathsf{cosh}((k+\frac{1}{2}) \frac{\ell_\sigma(\gamma)}{2})} g((k+\frac{1}{2}) \ell_\sigma(\gamma)) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{L_i}{4 \mathsf{cosh}(k \frac{l_i}{2})} g(k L_i) \\ &- \frac{L}{4} g(0), \end{split}$$

where  $G_P$  and  $G_P'$  are certain sets of primitive geodesics on  $\Sigma$ ,

$$g(y) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \tilde{f}(x) e^{ixy} dx$$

and 
$$f(\lambda) = \tilde{f}(p)$$
,  $\lambda = p^2 + \frac{1}{4}$ .

# Functions on Teichmüller space via spectral theory

However, if one instead consider another category  $\mathcal{S}'$  of surfaces:

**Objects:** Compact oriented surfaces with corners with a marked point on each boundary (which must be a corner, if the component has corners and we set  $c(\Sigma)$  in total number of corners and marked points) on the boundary  $\Sigma$  with  $\chi(\Sigma)-c(\Sigma)<-1$  together with an orientation of the boundary, such that  $\partial\Sigma=\partial_-\Sigma\cup\partial_+\Sigma$ , and such that the inclusion map  $\partial_-\Sigma\subset\Sigma$  induces  $\pi_0(\partial_-\Sigma)\cong\pi_0(\Sigma)$ .

**Morphisms:** Isotopy classes of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms which preserves marked points and orientations on the boundary modulo isotopies which also preserves all this structure.

However, if one instead consider another category  $\mathcal{S}^\prime$  of surfaces:

**Objects:** Compact oriented surfaces with corners with a marked point on each boundary (which must be a corner, if the component has corners and we set  $c(\Sigma)$  in total number of corners and marked points) on the boundary  $\Sigma$  with  $\chi(\Sigma)-c(\Sigma)<-1$  together with an orientation of the boundary, such that  $\partial\Sigma=\partial_-\Sigma\cup\partial_+\Sigma$ , and such that the inclusion map  $\partial_-\Sigma\subset\Sigma$  induces  $\pi_0(\partial_-\Sigma)\cong\pi_0(\Sigma)$ .

**Morphisms:** Isotopy classes of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms which preserves marked points and orientations on the boundary modulo isotopies which also preserves all this structure.

Suppose now we have a functor

$$E: \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{C}$$
.

The recursion now proceeds by iteratively removing embedded triangles from  $\Sigma$ .

A scheme similar to the one presented for Geometric Recursion in this talk also works in this case and one in facts gets what we call **Open Geometric Recursion**.

This allows us to get recursion for the spectral functions  $\Omega_{\Sigma}(\sigma) = \text{Tr}(f(-\Delta_{\sigma}))$  via the Selberg trace formula and in fact also get :

A recursion in (g, n, c) for their expectation values:  $\langle \Omega_{\Sigma_g, n, c} \rangle$ .

Answers a long standing open problem in spectral theory with application in string theory.

## The true category C:

 $\textbf{Objects:} \ \, \textbf{An object V of } \mathcal{C} \ \, \textbf{is a directed set} \ \, \mathscr{I} \ \, \textbf{and an inverse system over} \ \, \mathscr{I} \ \, \textbf{of objects}$ 

$$(V^{(i)},(|\cdot|_{\alpha}^{(i)})_{\alpha\in\mathscr{A}^{(i)}})_{i\in\mathscr{I}}$$

of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Inside the projective limit V of the  $(V^{(i)})_{i\in\mathscr{I}}$  we have the important subspace  $V':=\{v\in V\mid \forall i\in\mathscr{I},\ ||v||^{(i)}<+\infty\}\subset V$ , where  $||v||^{(i)}:=\sup_{\alpha\in\mathscr{A}^{(i)}}|v|_{\alpha}^{(i)}$ .

**Morphisms:** A morphism  $\Phi$  of  $\mathcal C$  from an object  $V_1$  to another  $V_2$ , is an inverse system of continuous linear maps

$$\Phi^{i,j}: V_1^{(i)} \to V_2^{(j)}, \qquad i \in \mathscr{I}_1, \quad j \leq h(i)$$

over an order preserving map  $h: \mathscr{I}_1 \to \mathscr{I}_2$ , such that the induced continuous linear map  $\Phi: V_1 \to V_2$  satisfies  $\Phi(V_1') \subseteq V_2'$ .

Recall  $S_{\Sigma}$  is the set of multi-curves in  $\Sigma$ .

#### Definition

A ( $\mathcal C$ -valued) target theory is a functor  $\mathbf E$  from  $\mathcal S$  to the category  $\mathcal C$ , such that morphisms in  $\mathcal S$  are send to isometries in  $\mathcal C$ , together with the following extra structure. For each object  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathcal S$  with

$$\mathsf{E}(\Sigma) = \left( E^{(i)}(\Sigma), (|\cdot|_{\alpha}^{(i)})_{\alpha \in \mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}^{(i)}} \right)_{i \in \mathscr{I}_{\Sigma}},$$

we require the functorial data of lengths functions

$$I_{\alpha}^{(i)}: S_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$$

indexed by  $i\in\mathscr{I}_{\Sigma}$  and  $\alpha\in\mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}^{(i)}$ . This data must satisfy the following properties.

Recall  $S_{\Sigma}$  is the set of multi-curves in  $\Sigma$ .

#### Definition

A ( $\mathcal C$ -valued) target theory is a functor  $\mathbf E$  from  $\mathcal S$  to the category  $\mathcal C$ , such that morphisms in  $\mathcal S$  are send to isometries in  $\mathcal C$ , together with the following extra structure. For each object  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathcal S$  with

$$\mathsf{E}(\Sigma) = \left( E^{(i)}(\Sigma), (|\cdot|_{\alpha}^{(i)})_{\alpha \in \mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}^{(i)}} \right)_{i \in \mathscr{I}_{\Sigma}},$$

we require the functorial data of lengths functions

$$I_{\alpha}^{(i)}: S_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$$

indexed by  $i \in \mathscr{I}_{\Sigma}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}^{(i)}$ . This data must satisfy the following properties.

POLYNOMIAL GROWTH AXIOM.

For each  $i \in \mathscr{I}_{\Sigma}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}^{(i)}$  and  $L \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$ , the set

$$N_{\alpha}^{(i)}(\Sigma, L) = \{ \gamma \in S_{\Sigma} \mid |I_{\alpha}^{(i)}(\gamma)| \leq L \}$$

is finite and there exists  $m_i(\Sigma), d_i(\Sigma) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , such that

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathscr{A}^{(i)}(\Sigma)} |N_{\alpha}^{(i)}(\Sigma, L)| \leq m_i(\Sigma) L^{d_i(\Sigma)}.$$

Lower bound axiom.

For any  $i \in \mathscr{I}_{\Sigma}$ , there exists  $\epsilon_i > 0$  such that

$$\inf \left\{ |I_{\alpha}^{(i)}(\gamma)| \mid (\alpha, \gamma) \in \mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}^{(i)} \times \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma} \right\} \geq \epsilon_{i}.$$

SMALL PAIR OF PANTS

For any  $i \in \mathscr{I}_{\Sigma}$ , there exists  $Q_i > 0$ , s.t.  $\forall \alpha \in \mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}^i$ 

$$\mid \{P \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma) \mid I_{\alpha}^{(i)}(\partial (P \cap \Sigma^{\circ})) \leq I_{\alpha}^{(i)}(\partial \Sigma \cap \partial P)\} \mid \leq Q_{i}$$

Union axiom.

For any two objects  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ , we ask for a bilinear morphism

$$\sqcup$$
:  $\mathsf{E}(\Sigma_1) \times \mathsf{E}(\Sigma_2) \to \mathsf{E}(\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2)$ ,

compatible with associativity of cartesian products and associativity of unions.

GLUEING AXIOM.

For any two objects  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  in S, and a subset  $\beta \subset \pi_0(\partial \Sigma_1) \times \pi_0(\partial \Sigma_2)$  consisting of disjoint pairs. We ask for a bilinear morphism

$$\Theta_\beta\,:\, \textbf{E}(\Sigma_1)\times \textbf{E}(\Sigma_2) \rightarrow \textbf{E}(\Sigma_1 \cup_\beta \Sigma_2),$$

which is compatible with the glueing of morphisms, with associativity of glueings and with the union morphisms.



## Definition

Initial data for a given target theory  $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{E}}$  are assignments

- $A, C \in \mathbf{E}(P)^{\Gamma_P}$ .
- $B^b \in \mathbf{E}(P)$  for  $b \in \pi_0(\partial_+ P)$  such that  $\varphi(B^b) = B^{\varphi(b)}$  for all  $\varphi \in \Gamma(P)$ .
- $D \in \mathbf{E}(T)^{\Gamma_T}$ .

## Definition

Initial data for a given target theory  ${\bf E}$  are assignments

- $A, C \in \mathbf{E}(P)^{\Gamma_P}$ .
- $B^b \in \mathbf{E}(P)$  for  $b \in \pi_0(\partial_+ P)$  such that  $\varphi(B^b) = B^{\varphi(b)}$  for all  $\varphi \in \Gamma(P)$ .
- $D \in \mathbf{E}(T)^{\Gamma_T}$ .

## Definition

The initial data is called admissible if

•  $A \in E'(P)$ ,  $D \in E'(T)$ 

and

$$\left([x]_+ = \frac{1 + \operatorname{Sign}(x)}{2} x\right)$$

DECAY AXIOM. For any connected object  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ , any  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma)$ , we require that for any  $(i,j) \in \mathscr{I}_P \times \mathscr{I}_{\Sigma_c}$  and  $k \in \mathscr{I}_{\Sigma}$  such that  $k \leq h_P(i,j)$ , any  $\alpha \in \mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}^{(k)}$ , there exists  $s_k > d_k(\Sigma)$  and functorial  $M_{i,j,k}(\Sigma) > 0$  such that

• if P shares two boundary components with  $\Sigma$ , say  $\partial_- P$  and b, then  $\forall v \in E'(\Sigma_c)^{\Gamma(\Sigma_c)}$ 

$$|\Theta_{b'}^{i,j,k}(B^b,v)|_{\alpha}^{(k)} \leq M_{i,j,k}(\Sigma) \|v\|^{(j)} \left(1 + [I_{\alpha}^{(i)}(\partial P \cap \Sigma^{\circ}) - I_{\alpha}^{(i)}(\partial P \cap \partial \Sigma)]_{+}\right)^{-s_{k}}.$$

• if P shares only one boundary component with  $\Sigma$ , then  $\forall v \in E'(\Sigma_c)^{\Gamma(\Sigma_c)}$ 

$$\big|\Theta_{b,b'}^{i,j,k}(C,v)\big|_{\alpha}^{(k)} \leq M_{i,j,k}(\Sigma) \, \|v\|^{(j)} (1 + [I_{\alpha}^{(i)}(\partial P \cap \Sigma^{\circ}) - I_{\alpha}^{(i)}(\partial P \cap \partial \Sigma)]_{+})^{-s_{k}}.$$

The decay axiom:  $\forall s > 0$ , any  $(i,j) \in \mathscr{I}_{\mathbf{P}} \times \mathscr{I}_{\Sigma_c}$  and  $k \in \mathscr{I}_{\Sigma}$ , any  $\alpha \in \mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}^{(k)}$  that

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}}(\Sigma)} \left| \Theta_{b'}^{i,j,k}(\mathcal{B}^b, \Omega_{\Sigma_c}) \right|_{\alpha}^{(k)} \leq M_{i,j,k}(\Sigma) \|\Omega_{\Sigma_c}\|^{(j)} \zeta_{\alpha}(s),$$

where

$$\zeta_{\alpha}^{(i)}(s) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}}(\Sigma)} (1 + [I_{\alpha}^{(i)}(\partial P \cap \Sigma^{\circ}) - I_{\alpha}^{(i)}(\partial P \cap \partial \Sigma)]_{+})^{-s} \in (0, +\infty].$$

The polynomial growth axiom + small pair of pants: There exist  $s_k > d_k(\Sigma)$  such that  $\zeta_{\alpha}(s_k)$  is finite.

The lower bound axiom + small pair of pants: There exists a finite constant  $M'_k$  such that

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathscr{A}_{\Sigma}^{(k)}} \zeta_{\alpha}^{(i)}(s_k) \leq M_k'.$$

Thus we get that

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Sigma)} \left| \Theta_{b'}^{i,j,k}(B^b, \Omega_{\Sigma_c}) \right|_{\alpha}^{(k)} \leq M_{i,j,k}(\Sigma) \left\| \Omega_{\Sigma_c} \right\|^{(j)} M_k',$$

e.g. the series  $\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_P(\Sigma)} \Theta_{h'}^{i,j,k}(B^b, \Omega_{\Sigma_c})$  is absolutely convergent in  $E^{(k)}(\Sigma)$ .

# The target theory of continuous functions on Teichmüller space

Let 
$$K_{\Sigma}(\varepsilon) := \left\{ \sigma \in \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^p_{\Sigma} \mid \operatorname{sys}_{\sigma} \geq \varepsilon \right\}$$
 and  $E^{\varepsilon}(\Sigma) := \mathcal{C}^0(K_{\Sigma}(\varepsilon))$ .

We have a family of seminorms indexed by the set  $\mathscr{A}^{\mathcal{E}}_{\Sigma}$  of compact subsets of  $K_{\Sigma}(\varepsilon)$ , which makes it a locally convex, Hausdorff, complete topological vector spaces, and we have continuous restriction maps  $E^{\varepsilon}(\Sigma) \to E^{\varepsilon'}(\Sigma)$  whenever  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'$ .

One then easily checks that  $E(\Sigma) := \mathcal{C}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^\rho_\Sigma)$  is the projective limit of these spaces over the directed set  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

We have seminorms

$$||f||_{\varepsilon} = \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\varepsilon)} |f(\sigma)|$$

and a subspace

$$E'(\Sigma) = \big\{ f \in \mathcal{C}^0(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_\Sigma) \mid \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \|f\|_\varepsilon < +\infty \big\}.$$

For any  $\varepsilon>0$  and K a compact subset of  $K_{\Sigma}(\varepsilon)$ , we use the hyperbolic length  $\ell_{\sigma}$  to define the length functions,

$$\forall \gamma \in S_{\Sigma}, \qquad I_{K}^{(\epsilon)}(\gamma) = \min_{\sigma \in K} \ell_{\sigma}(\gamma).$$

Since K is compact for any  $\sigma \in K$ , there exists a constant  $c_K \in (0,1)$  such that

$$c_K \ell_{\sigma}(\gamma) \leq I_K^{(\epsilon)}(\gamma).$$

As the systole is bounded below by construction on each  $K_{\Sigma}(\varepsilon)$ , we deduce that the length functions satisfy the <u>Lower bound axiom</u>.

A result of Rivin (refined by Mirzakhani) guarantees that the number of  $\gamma \in S_{\Sigma}$  with  $I_K^{(\epsilon)}(\gamma) \leq L$  grows slower than a power of L, thus we get the Polynomial growth axiom. Work of Hugo Parlier provides the Small pair of pants axiom.

# Congratulations with your creations of the IMSA!